

RESEARCH

Open Access



# Potential impact of sodium glucose co-transporter (SGLT2) inhibitors on cholesterol fractions in stage 3 chronic kidney disease

Rabab Mahmoud Ahmed<sup>1\*</sup>, Nehal Kamal Rakha<sup>1</sup>, Ahmed Yousry<sup>2</sup> and Amin Roshdy Soliman<sup>1</sup>

## Abstract

**Introduction** Data on sodium glucose co-transporter 2 inhibitors impact on lipids in patients with diabetes are available and only a handful of studies have explored this effect in individuals with both diabetes and renal impairment; lipid parameters were not the primary focus of those earlier studies. However, there is a significant research gap specifically addressing the influence of SGLT2 inhibitors on cholesterol fractions in patients exclusively with chronic kidney disease. This aim constitutes the central objective in this particular study.

**Methods** In this 3-month randomized controlled study, 30 patients with stage 3 chronic kidney disease and dyslipidemia were randomly assigned to receive either dapagliflozin 10 mg or placebo. Lipid profiles, renal function, and urinary albumin levels were assessed at baseline and after 3 months.

**Results** Compared to baseline, patients receiving dapagliflozin for 3 months showed significant improvements in serum creatinine ( $p < .001$ ) and eGFR ( $p = .001$ ). Total cholesterol and LDL-C levels decreased significantly ( $p = .010$  and  $.006$ , respectively). While albumin-creatinine ratio also decreased, this change was not statistically significant. Additionally, HDL-C and TG not significantly increased. The control group without intervention experienced deterioration in serum creatinine and eGFR ( $p = .008$ , and  $.011$ , respectively), but no statistically significant lipid changes were observed. Furthermore, post-intervention total cholesterol moderately correlated with BMI ( $p = .032$ ,  $R = .554$ ), yet no predictors significantly influenced lipid levels in the multiple linear regression analysis.

**Conclusions** Dapagliflozin has a favorable effect on cholesterol fractions in stage 3 CKD patients without diabetes mellitus and this effect was different from that observed in patients with diabetes alone.

**Keywords** Dapagliflozin, Lipids, Cholesterol, Chronic kidney disease

## Introduction

Undoubtedly, sodium glucose co-transporter 2 (SGLT2) inhibitors offer benefits beyond the main action for glycemic control. SGLT2 inhibitors are now recognized as crucial for slowing chronic kidney disease progression

in real-world studies [1, 2]. Consequently, these medications are frequently prescribed for individuals with chronic kidney disease.

Recently, SGLT2 inhibitors have been linked to lipids. They impact fat storage and substrate utilization and regulate lipid synthesis, transportation, and fatty acid oxidation. They also promote weight loss and reduce body fat which in turn also affects the lipids level [3].

Meta-analyses of 60 randomized trials involving 147,130 individuals documented that SGLT2-inhibitor treatment was associated with increased total cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, and HDL cholesterol, while

\*Correspondence:

Rabab Mahmoud Ahmed  
rababmahmoud966@gmail.com

<sup>1</sup> Kasr Alainy Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University, El Saray Street Manial, El Manial, Cairo 11562, Egypt

<sup>2</sup> Zagazig University, Cairo, Egypt



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

triglyceride levels decreased [4]. Elevated (LDL-C) levels can occur due to diminished lipid transfer between triglyceride-rich lipoprotein (TRL)-TG and LDL-C. Additionally, enhanced insulin sensitivity leads to increased lipoprotein lipase activity, promoting the conversion of very-low-density lipoprotein-C (VLDL-C) to LDL-C. However, these changes were documented mainly for patients diagnosed with diabetes, and this elevation in LDL-C in patients who used SGLT2-inhibitor was not accompanied with an increased cardiovascular diseases risk [5].

There is currently no existing literature specifically addressing the impact of SGLT2-inhibitor on cholesterol fractions in patients with exclusive chronic kidney disease (CKD).

Dyslipidemia frequently occurs in patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD). In this context, dyslipidemia refers to elevated triglyceride (TG) levels and low high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) levels [6]. Patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD) are at high risk for cardiovascular disease (CVD). Dyslipidemia, along with other factors like chronic inflammation, vascular remodeling, and metabolic disturbances, contributes to coronary artery disease, heart failure, atherosclerosis, arrhythmias, and sudden cardiac death in these patients [7].

CKD patients are often undertreated with cholesterol lowering agents due to lacked evidence to evaluate LDL-C levels' impact across different CKD stages on cardiovascular disease risk or mortality and due to the fact that individuals with chronic kidney disease (CKD) face an increased risk of side effects from lipid medications due to reduced renal excretion and the associated poly pharmacy [8].

The accumulating evidences that SGLT2 inhibitors have potential benefits in preventing complications associated with dyslipidemia in diabetes patients [9, 10] prompt us to study the impact of dapagliflozin on cholesterol fractions in chronic kidney disease (CKD) patients. It is possible that SGLT2 inhibitor effects may differ from those observed in individuals with diabetes alone.

Therefore, the objective of our study is to investigate how dapagliflozin influences blood cholesterol profiles in stage 3 CKD patients.

## Methods

Thirty stage 3 chronic kidney disease patients with dyslipidemia were enrolled in this single blinded randomized–placebo controlled study (only patients were unaware of the allocated treatment) from outpatient clinic of Cairo University Hospitals between August and November 2023. The sample size was determined based on the formula for clinical trials of mean differences. Specifically, with  $n = 15$  patients per group, the

study aimed to detect a significant 15% difference compared to baseline in cholesterol fractions.

Following the acquisition of informed consent, participants were allocated randomly by sealed envelope (1:1) either to receive a daily regimen of dapagliflozin 10 mg in the morning or using placebo alongside their existing conservative CKD medications. The intended duration of the treatment is 3 months.

Intervention group ( $n = 15$ ) received dapagliflozin 10 mg + conservative CKD medications.

Control group ( $n = 15$ ) received placebo + conservative CKD medications.

The study enrolled adults diagnosed with stage 3 CKD (who had an estimated glomerular filtration rate (GFR) between 30 and 59 ml/min per 1.73 m<sup>2</sup>) with disease duration of at least 6 months and had dyslipidemia required to be receiving a consistent dose of statins for minimum 3 months before enrollment in the study.

All enrolled patients in both groups received a moderate-intensity statins (10–20 mg atorvastatin).

Individuals were excluded if they had diabetes mellitus, altered their statin dosage or therapy within the last 3 months, had an active urinary tract infection at the time of inclusion, suffered from alcoholism, had triglyceride levels of 600 mg/dl or higher, were allergic to dapagliflozin, had an eGFR below 30, were taking omega-3 fatty acids, were pregnant or breastfeeding, or were unable to provide informed consent.

Age, gender, body mass index (BMI), systolic and diastolic blood pressure, smoking assessment of the enrolled participants alongside with, serum creatinine, eGFR, urinary albumin/creatinine ratio ACR (mg/g), HbA1c at the baseline, and after 3 months were recorded.

Ten-hour fasting blood samples were obtained at baseline and after 12 weeks for assessment lipid profile (total cholesterol, HDL-C and LDL-C, and triglycerides (TGs)).

Primary outcome was the change in cholesterol fractions (total cholesterol, LDL-C, HDL-C) and TG levels 3 months of intervention versus baseline.

The CKD-EPI formula was used to estimate glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) [11]. Microalbuminuria in spot urine specimens is defined as excretion of 30–300 mg of albumin/gram creatinine [12]. Lipid fractions were measured by conventional direct methods.

All patients were aware by symptoms and signs of hypoglycemia for safety issue.

Ethical committee approval.

The study protocol obtains approval from the Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University Research Ethics Committee (REC), at 27–5–2023, with the approval number N-152–2023.

### Statistical analysis

Microsoft Excel 2013 was employed for data entry, while the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 23 (SPSS, Armonk, NY: International Business Machines Corporation) was utilized for statistical analysis. Simple descriptive statistics (average and standard deviation) provided a concise overview for the quantitative data and frequencies express qualitative data. Bivariate relationship was explored in cross-tabulations and comparison of proportions was done using the chi-square test or Fisher exact whenever appropriate. *T*-independent test and paired *T*-test were applied to compare normally distributed quantitative data. Pearson correlation was performed to detect correlation between quantitative variables. Multiple linear regression models were used to assess the impact of several factors on the lipid profile parameters in the intervention group. The level of significance was set at probability (*P*) value < 0.05.

### Results

Thirty patients with stage 3 chronic kidney disease (CKD) and dyslipidemia were enrolled in the study. Fifteen participants were administered dapagliflozin for 3 months as an intervention, in addition to conservative care for CKD, while the remaining fifteen participants were assigned to the control group, receiving a placebo alongside their conservative care for CKD too.

Demographic data and laboratory measurements are presented in Table 1. Both the intervention and control groups displayed comparable baseline results, with no statistically significant differences observed in levels of total cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), and triglycerides (TG)—the primary variables of interest.

Compared to control group, after 3 months, the intervention group exhibited a significant lower serum creatinine levels (*p*-value=0.005) and a corresponding higher estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) (*p*-value=0.005), and regarding the lipid profile of the patients, the intervention group showed lower levels of total cholesterol and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), while high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) and triglyceride (TG) levels were elevated. However, these differences were statistically significant only for LDL-C (*p*-value=0.022, Table 1).

Compared to baseline, patients who received dapagliflozin showed improvement in serum creatinine and eGFR levels after 3 months with highly significant *p*-values of less than 0.001 and 0.001, respectively. Additionally, there was a notable reduction in total cholesterol and LDL-C levels among those receiving the treatment, evidenced by significant *p*-values of 0.010 and 0.006,

respectively. While there was an increase in HDL-C levels and a decrease in TG, these changes did not reach statistical significance. Tendency towards significance were observed post-treatment in the decrease of ACR levels suggesting a positive trend, although this finding was not statistically significant (Table 2).

Same laboratory variables were tested after 3 months for the control group without intervention. The results indicated significant deterioration in serum creatinine levels, and estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) (*p* value = 0.008 and 0.011, respectively). However, there was no statistically significant increase in total cholesterol, LDL-C, HDL-C, triglycerides (TG), or albumin-to-creatinine ratio (ACR) in this group (as shown in Table 2).

Male sex exhibited significantly higher eGFR at baseline and after 3 months in the intervention group compared to female sex (*p* value = 0.025 and 0.048, respectively). In contrast, smoking demonstrated a non-specific correlation with the tested variables (*p* value > 0.05, Table 3).

Total cholesterol at baseline showed a moderate significant correlation with BMI (*p* value = 0.028, *R* value = 0.566). The same correlation was observed for total cholesterol after 3 months (*p* value = 0.032, *R* value = 0.554) (Table 4).

A multiple linear regression was conducted to predict post-intervention total cholesterol levels based on certain independent variables. However, none of these variables significantly predicted the decrease in cholesterol levels ( $F(10, 15) = 1.218$ ,  $p = 0.460$ ,  $R^2 = 0.135$ , Table 5). Similarly, another multiple linear regression aimed to predict post-intervention LDL-C levels using the same independent variables. Again, none of these variables significantly predicted LDL-C levels ( $F(10, 15) = 0.638$ ,  $p = 0.743$ ,  $R^2 = -0.349$ , Table 5). However, when predicting post-intervention urinary albumin/creatinine levels, only the baseline urinary albumin/creatinine level emerged as a statistically significant predictor ( $F(10, 15) = 17.460$ ,  $p = 0.007$ ,  $R^2 = 0.922$ ). This variable significantly contributed to the prediction ( $p < 0.05$ , Table 6).

### Discussion

There is currently no existing literature addressing the impact of dapagliflozin on cholesterol fractions in patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD). To our knowledge, this is the first trial examining the impact of SGLT2 inhibitors on lipids in chronic kidney patients. We found that dapagliflozin has a favorable effect on cholesterol fractions in stage 3 CKD patients without diabetes mellitus.

Data regarding SGLT2 inhibitors and lipids in patients with diabetes exist. Only a few relevant studies have included the effect of SGLT2 inhibitors on cholesterol fractions in patients with both diabetes and renal

**Table 1** Patients' characters at baseline and after 3 months of follow-up in both groups, N=30

		Group				P.value
		Intervention group N=15		Control group N=15		
		N	N	N	N	
<b>Sex</b>	Male	11	73.3%	11	73.3%	1.000
	Female	4	26.7%	4	26.7%	
<b>Smoking</b>	Yes	6	40.0%	5	33.3%	.705
	No	9	60.0%	10	66.7%	
*Chi-square test						
Variable	Group	Mean	Std. deviation			P.value
<b>Age</b>	Intervention group	48.67	8.449			.666
	Control group	47.13	10.676			
<b>BMI</b>	Intervention group	27.893	4.7358			.645
	Control group	27.100	4.6067			
<b>SBP</b>	Intervention group	144.33	22.109			.490
	Control group	139.67	13.425			
<b>DBP</b>	Intervention group	87.67	13.998			.822
	Control group	88.67	9.722			
<b>Creatinine-baseline</b>	Intervention group	1.580	.3448			.909
	Control group	1.593	.2840			
<b>eGFR- baseline</b>	Intervention group	52.73	14.921			.723
	Control group	51.07	10.138			
<b>Total cholesterol- baseline</b> Reference: < 200 mg/dl	Intervention group	204.60	30.577			.467
	Control group	192.13	57.969			
<b>LDL-C- baseline</b> Reference: < 100 mg/dl	Intervention group	133.87	32.876			.190
	Control group	111.67	54.846			
<b>HDL-C- baseline</b> Reference: ≥ 40 mg/dl	Intervention group	39.73	11.554			.336
	Control group	34.67	16.369			
<b>TGs- baseline</b> Reference: < 150 mg/dl	Intervention group	176.27	62.920			.239
	Control group	142.13	90.140			
<b>HbA1C- baseline</b>	Intervention group	5.298	.9938			.741
	Control group	5.213	.9410			
<b>Urinary albumin/creatinine ratio- baseline</b>	Intervention group	174.40	155.989			.890
	Control group	166.47	155.983			
<b>Creatinine-after 3 months</b>	Intervention group	1.273	.4367			.005*
	Control group	1.693	.2865			
<b>e-GFR- after 3 months</b>	Intervention group	72.67	28.575			.005*
	Control group	47.53	10.134			
<b>Total cholesterol- after 3 months</b> Reference: < 200 mg/dl	Intervention group	177.00	42.842			.110
	Control group	202.93	43.125			
<b>LDL-C- after 3 months</b> Reference: < 100 mg/dl	Intervention group	103.20	21.508			.022*
	Control group	133.40	42.291			
<b>HDL-C- after 3 months</b> Reference: ≥ 40 mg/dl	Intervention group	43.47	11.507			.196
	Control group	39.00	6.199			
<b>TGs- after 3 months</b> Reference: < 150 mg/dl	Intervention group	171.20	79.575			.819
	Control group	164.93	68.532			
<b>HbA1c- after 3 months</b>	Intervention group	5.295	.8312			.898
	Control group	5.301	.8117			
<b>Urinary albumin/creatinine- after 3 months</b>	Intervention group	157.33	151.919			.694
	Control group	179.67	155.466			
*T-independent test						

N Number, BMI Body mass index, SBP Systolic blood pressure, DBP Diastolic blood pressure, eGFR Estimated glomerular filtration rate, LDL-C Low-density lipoprotein-cholesterol, HDL-C High-density lipoprotein-cholesterol, TG Triglycerides, Std. Standard

**Table 2** Baseline laboratory data compared to follow-up after 3 months within the studied groups

Variables	Intervention group N=15			Control group N=15			
	Mean	Std. deviation	P value	Mean	Std. deviation	P value	
Pair 1	<b>Creatinine- baseline</b>	1.580	.3448	<.001*	1.593	.2840	.008*
	<b>Creatinine- after 3 months</b>	1.273	.4367		1.693	.2865	
Pair 2	<b>eGFR- baseline</b>	52.73	14.921	.001*	51.07	10.138	.011*
	<b>e-GFR- after 3 months</b>	72.67	28.575		47.53	10.134	
Pair 3	<b>Total cholesterol- baseline</b>	204.60	30.577	.010*	192.13	57.969	.571
	<b>Total cholesterol- after 3 months</b>	177.00	42.842		202.93	43.125	
Pair 4	<b>LDL-C- baseline</b>	133.87	32.876	.006*	111.67	54.846	.197
	<b>LDL-C- after 3 months</b>	103.20	21.508		133.40	42.291	
Pair 5	<b>HDL-C- baseline</b>	39.73	11.554	.091	34.67	16.369	.311
	<b>HDL-C- after 3 months</b>	43.47	11.507		39.00	6.199	
Pair 6	<b>TGs- baseline</b>	176.27	62.920	.727	142.13	90.140	.270
	<b>TGs- after 3 months</b>	171.20	79.575		164.93	68.532	
Pair 7	<b>HbA1C- baseline</b>	5.298	.9938	.823	5.213	.9410	.715
	<b>HbA1c- after 3 months</b>	5.295	.8312		5.301	.8117	
Pair 8	<b>Urinary albumin/creatinine- baseline</b>	174.40	155.989	.058	166.47	155.983	.105
	<b>Urinary albumin/creatinine- after 3 months</b>	157.33	151.919		179.67	155.466	

eGFR Estimated glomerular filtration rate, LDL-C Low-density lipoprotein-cholesterol, HDL-C High-density lipoprotein-cholesterol, TG Triglycerides, Std. Standard

\* Paired sample T-test

impairment. It is worth noting that this was not the primary focus of those studies [13–16].

In our study, compared to baseline, patients who received dapagliflozin exhibited a significant decrease in LDL-C and total cholesterol values. Favorable outcomes were observed regarding triglyceride (TG) and HDL-C levels. In contrast, there was no significant change in the lipid profile of patients in the control group. These findings partially align with multiple clinical trials that have demonstrated SGLT-2 inhibitors' ability to decrease plasma TG levels and increase HDL-C levels [17]. However, it is important to note that these studies were conducted in patients with type 2 diabetes [18, 19]. In our study, we did not discern the anticipated increase in LDL-C levels associated with dapagliflozin treatment. While the precise impact of dapagliflozin on LDL-C levels remains incompletely understood, several mechanisms may contribute. These mechanisms include promoting weight loss, enhancing insulin sensitivity, increasing urinary glucose excretion, and potentially influencing liver function and lipid metabolism, which could ultimately lead to reduced LDL-C production. Although these mechanisms hint at a potential association between SGLT2 inhibitors and decreased LDL-C, further research is necessary to fully comprehend this relationship in patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD) [3]. Individual responses to statins vary due to genetic factors [20], with some people exhibiting better responsiveness. Additionally, the intervention group may

have adhered more rigorously to dietary modifications, exercise, or weight management, or demonstrated better adherence to statin therapy. Furthermore, unmeasured confounders in our study could also play a role. However, these factors could introduce bias to the results.

Studies on individuals with DM reported that people whose LDL-C levels increased after receiving dapagliflozin experienced higher triglyceride (TG) levels (which were already slightly elevated compared to the reference range at baseline in our patients). This suggests a pivotal role of TG in the SGLT2 inhibitor-induced LDL-C elevation [21, 22].

Proteinuria shows a correlation with an atherogenic subspecies of LDL. Reducing proteinuria has a beneficial effect on lipid levels, regardless of the method used (such as medication or dietary changes). This effect was observed in the intervention group, as evidenced by a notable reduction in albumin-to-creatinine ratio (ACR), which may contribute to a reduction in LDL-C levels [23, 24].

While the dapagliflozin group experienced an approximate 22% reduction in LDL-C levels from the initial values, this decrease did not meet the desired target. According to current recommendations and large-scale observational studies, adults with CKD stages 1–4 who have been diagnosed with atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD), diabetes mellitus, or apparent proteinuria (similar to our patients) should strive for LDL cholesterol levels below 70 mg/dl [6].

**Table 3** Comparison between the qualitative independent variables and parameters pre- and post-dapagliflozin administration (intervention group, N= 15)

	Sex					Smoking				
	Variable	N	Mean	Std. deviation	P value	Variable	N	Mean	Std. deviation	P value
<b>Creatinine- baseline</b>	Male	11	1.545	.3671	.540	Yes	6	1.733	.3882	.167
	Female	4	1.675	.2986		No	9	1.478	.2906	
<b>eGFR- baseline</b>	Male	11	57.73	13.915	<b>.025*</b>	Yes	6	46.33	12.817	.184
	Female	4	39.00	7.118		No	9	57.00	15.354	
<b>Total cholesterol- baseline</b>	Male	11	208.00	29.896	.496	Yes	6	207.67	19.775	.735
	Female	4	195.25	34.999		No	9	202.56	37.149	
<b>LDL-C- baseline</b>	Male	11	134.55	32.463	.900	Yes	6	133.67	42.359	.985
	Female	4	132.00	39.047		No	9	134.00	27.749	
<b>HDL-C- baseline</b>	Male	11	40.27	12.924	.776	Yes	6	41.50	17.830	.709
	Female	4	38.25	7.890		No	9	38.56	5.570	
<b>TGs- baseline</b>	Male	11	183.64	67.518	.472	Yes	6	170.33	68.342	.778
	Female	4	156.00	50.326		No	9	180.22	62.968	
<b>HbA1C- baseline</b>	Male	11	5.223	.8269	.384	Yes	6	5.300	.5582	.648
	Female	4	5.278	.0165		No	9	5.208	.8019	
<b>Urinary albumin/creatinine- baseline</b>	Male	11	170.55	166.716	.881	Yes	6	228.00	225.161	.389
	Female	4	185.00	143.875		No	9	138.67	85.468	
<b>Creatinine- after 3 months</b>	Male	11	1.209	.4527	.364	Yes	6	1.367	.4320	.519
	Female	4	1.450	.3873		No	9	1.211	.4540	
<b>e-GFR- after 3 months</b>	Male	11	81.27	27.178	<b>.048*</b>	Yes	6	65.50	29.084	.448
	Female	4	49.00	18.166		No	9	77.44	28.914	
<b>Total cholesterol- after 3 months</b>	Male	11	167.82	40.541	.177	Yes	6	174.67	36.517	.871
	Female	4	202.25	43.904		No	9	178.56	48.701	
<b>LDL-C- after 3 months</b>	Male	11	103.00	24.787	.955	Yes	6	104.00	25.314	.911
	Female	4	103.75	10.500		No	9	102.67	20.205	
<b>HDL-C- after 3 months</b>	Male	11	45.00	13.153	.412	Yes	6	46.17	18.659	.582
	Female	4	39.25	2.986		No	9	41.67	2.236	
<b>TGs- after 3 months</b>	Male	11	176.00	92.116	.713	Yes	6	178.50	74.629	.784
	Female	4	158.00	30.800		No	9	166.33	86.797	
<b>HbA1c- after 3 months</b>	Male	11	5.209	.9300	.718	Yes	6	5.210	.9423	.885
	Female	4	5.225	.5188		No	9	5.233	.7984	
<b>Urinary albumin/creatinine- after 3 months</b>	Male	11	152.73	159.322	.854	Yes	6	203.33	210.666	.435
	Female	4	170.00	150.997		No	9	126.67	100.031	

N Number, BMI Body mass index, SBP Systolic blood pressure, DBP Diastolic blood pressure, eGFR Estimated glomerular filtration rate, LDL-C Low-density lipoprotein-cholesterol, HDL-C High-density lipoprotein-cholesterol, TG Triglycerides, Std. Standard asterisk means statistically significant

The synergistic effect of using SGLT2 inhibitors (SGLT2i) and statins together in humans remains unclear due to the limited availability of studies. However, research conducted on mice has demonstrated that combined treatment with dapagliflozin and atorvastatin improves lipid oxidation and reduces kidney lipid accumulation. This combination yields favorable effects on metabolic parameters and contributes to the reduction of oxidative stress, fibrosis, and apoptosis in an insulin-resistant model induced by a high-fat, high-fructose diet [25, 26].

In this study, we anticipated that either the estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) would decrease or remain stable upon initiation of SGLT2 inhibitors (SLUT2i). However, we observed a noticeable improvement in these parameters within the intervention group, which contradicted the expected trend. In contrast, the control group experienced significant worsening. Despite these findings, predicting the duration and identifying individuals who will experience an acute eGFR dip remain challenging. In SGLT2 inhibitor trials, individuals who did experience an acute

**Table 4** Comparison between the quantitative independent variables and parameters pre- and post-dapagliflozin administration (intervention group, N = 15)

		Age	BMI	SBP	DBP
<b>Creatinine- baseline</b>	R value	-.184	.268	-.058	-.203
	P value	.512	.334	.837	.469
	N	15	15	15	15
<b>eGFR- baseline</b>	R value	.070	-.262	-.133	.134
	P value	.806	.345	.637	.635
	N	15	15	15	15
<b>Total cholesterol- baseline</b>	R value	-.056	<b>.566<sup>a</sup></b>	.028	.065
	P value	.843	<b>.028<sup>a</sup></b>	.922	.817
	N	15	15	15	15
<b>LDL-C- baseline</b>	R value	-.494	.376	.079	-.006
	P value	.061	.167	.780	.983
	N	15	15	15	15
<b>HDL-C- baseline</b>	R value	.321	-.419	-.143	.170
	P value	.243	.120	.610	.544
	N	15	15	15	15
<b>TGs- baseline</b>	R value	-.431	.432	-.063	-.255
	P value	.109	.108	.823	.359
	N	15	15	15	15
<b>HbA1C- baseline</b>	R value	.115	.170	-.178	-.320
	P value	.683	.546	.526	.245
	N	15	15	15	15
<b>Urinary albumin/creatinine- baseline</b>	R value	-.201	-.040	-.038	.208
	P value	.472	.889	.894	.457
	N	15	15	15	15
<b>Creatinine- after 3 months</b>	R value	-.140	.068	-.231	-.145
	P value	.619	.811	.407	.605
	N	15	15	15	15
<b>e-GFR- after 3 months</b>	R value	.094	.014	.096	.087
	P value	.740	.959	.733	.757
	N	15	15	15	15
<b>Total cholesterol- after 3 months</b>	R value	-.235	<b>.554<sup>a</sup></b>	.239	.162
	P value	.399	<b>.032<sup>a</sup></b>	.392	.564
	N	15	15	15	15
<b>LDL-C- after 3 months</b>	R value	-.397	.256	-.122	-.221
	P value	.143	.356	.665	.428
	N	15	15	15	15
<b>HDL-C- after 3 months</b>	R value	.223	-.298	-.237	.047
	P value	.425	.280	.394	.867
	N	15	15	15	15
<b>TGs- after 3 months</b>	R value	-.228	-.064	-.068	-.359
	P value	.413	.820	.809	.189
	N	15	15	15	15
<b>HbA1c- after 3 months</b>	R value	.106	.229	-.138	-.401
	P value	.706	.412	.623	.139
	N	15	15	15	15
<b>Urinary albumin/creatinine- after 3 months</b>	R value	-.150	.021	-.079	.170
	P value	.594	.941	.778	.544
	N	15	15	15	15

N Number, BMI Body mass index, SBP Systolic blood pressure, DBP Diastolic blood pressure, eGFR estimated glomerular filtration rate, LDL-C Low-density lipoprotein-cholesterol, HDL-C High-density lipoprotein-cholesterol, TG Triglycerides, Std. Standard

<sup>a</sup> Pearson correlation



**Table 5** Predictors of total cholesterol level and LDL-C post-intervention (logistic regression)

Model	Total cholesterol level-post intervention						LDL-C level -post intervention					
	Unstandardized coefficients			Standardized coefficients			Unstandardized coefficients			Standardized coefficients		
	B	Std. error	Beta	P value	95.0% confidence interval for B	Lower bound	Upper bound	B	Std. error	Beta	P value	95.0% confidence interval for B
1 (Constant)	220.557	633.370		.745	-1537.960	1979.073	495.018	396.982		.280	-607.181	1597.216
Sex	10.861	84.335	.116	.904	-223.291	245.013	-35.717	52.859	-.760	.536	-182.478	111.044
Age	-1.164	1.978	-.230	.588	-6.655	4.327	-2.164	1.240	-.850	.156	-5.606	1.277
BMI	3.610	6.310	.399	.598	-13.911	21.130	-.692	3.955	-.152	.870	-11.673	10.290
SBP	.554	1.866	.286	.781	-4.627	5.735	.725	1.170	.745	.569	-2.523	3.972
DBP	-1.604	3.175	-.524	.640	-10.420	7.213	-2.110	1.990	-1.373	.349	-7.636	3.416
Smoking	32.805	81.367	.388	.707	-193.107	258.717	37.156	50.999	.876	.507	-104.440	178.753
Creatinine-baseline	-31.083	143.993	-.250	.840	-430.873	368.706	-68.047	90.252	-1.091	.493	-318.626	182.532
eGFR-baseline	-1.221	4.352	-.425	.793	-13.304	10.863	-1.962	2.728	-1.361	.512	-9.536	5.612
HbA1C-baseline	-1.405	7.901	-.055	.868	-23.342	20.532	-.330	4.952	-.026	.950	-14.079	13.420
Urinary albumin/creatinine-baseline	.175	.156	.636	.325	-.258	.607	.071	.098	.512	.510	-.201	.342

BMI body mass index, SBP systolic blood pressure, DBP diastolic blood pressure, eGFR estimated glomerular filtration rate



**Table 6** Predictors of urinary albumin/creatinine ratio-post intervention (logistic regression)

Model		Unstandardized coefficients		Standardized coefficients Beta	P value	95.0% confidence interval for B	
		B	Std. error			Lower bound	Upper bound
1	(Constant)	-518.816	675.931		.486	-2395.500	1357.868
	Sex	76.713	90.002	.231	.442	-173.174	326.599
	Age	1.962	2.111	.109	.405	-3.898	7.822
	BMI	4.784	6.734	.149	.517	-13.914	23.482
	SBP	-1.049	1.992	-.153	.626	-6.578	4.481
	DBP	.463	3.389	.043	.898	-8.946	9.872
	Smoking	-14.579	86.835	-.049	.875	-255.671	226.514
	Creatinine- baseline	118.224	153.669	.268	.485	-308.431	544.878
	eGFR- baseline	3.394	4.645	.333	.505	-9.502	16.290
	HbA1C- baseline	-6.498	8.432	-.071	.484	-29.909	16.913
	Urinary albumin/creatinine- baseline	.949	.166	.974	<b>.005*</b>	.487	1.411

BMI body mass index, SBP systolic blood pressure, DBP diastolic blood pressure, eGFR estimated glomerular filtration rate

eGFR dip generally had a lower absolute eGFR than non-dippers [27].

The findings from two studies involving patients with diabetes and chronic kidney disease (CKD) were matched with our results. In a study by Barnett et al., patients with stage 2–4 CKD who received empagliflozin treatment experienced only minor reductions in estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR). Importantly, these slight decreases promptly returned to baseline levels by the end of the 3-week follow-up after treatment completion [13]. Similarly, in the study by Haneda M et al., patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and CKD initially saw a decline in eGFR during the first 2 weeks of luseogliflozin treatment. However, shortly thereafter, eGFR rebounded and consistently remained above baseline levels across all eGFR groups [14]. These findings highlight the impact of SGLT2 inhibitors on kidney function and suggest that any initial declines in eGFR may be reversible or even followed by improvement.

SGLT-2 inhibitors (SGLT2i) confer several indirect benefits for kidney function. They enhance glycemic control, promote weight loss, and reduce blood pressure. Furthermore, SGLT2 inhibitors (SGLT2i) may have a valuable impact on reducing proteinuria, not only in cases of microalbuminuria but also in more severe nephrotic-range proteinuria. This reduction in proteinuria contributes to slowing down the progression of chronic kidney disease. In the intervention group of this study, microalbuminuria significantly decreased following dapagliflozin administration [28–30]. The control group did not achieve the benefit of slowing down the progression of CKD with SGLT2 inhibitor use [31].

Regardless the dapagliflozin use, it has been found that, despite the typical relentless decline in renal function among most patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD), certain studies (REIN follow-up study, MDRD study, and the African-American Study of Kidney Disease and Hypertension (AASK) trial) have shed light on an intriguing phenomenon: a notable proportion of CKD patients experience sustained improved kidney function over time. These observations suggest that GFR improvement is possible at any CKD stage even through stage 4–5 [32–35].

Although this study had limitations due to a small patient cohort and short treatment duration, additionally, it lacked measurements of some confounders which may affect LDL-C levels; it underscores the need for additional research, particularly long-term clinical trials, to comprehensively explore the lipid-lowering and lipid-modifying effects of these medications in patients with chronic kidney disease.

## Conclusion

Dapagliflozin favorably influences cholesterol fractions and kidney function in patients with stage 3 chronic kidney disease. SGLT2 inhibition is associated with a decrease in total cholesterol, LDL-C, triglycerides (TG), serum creatinine, and albumin-creatinine ratio, as well as increases in HDL-C, and estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) in this population.

## Abbreviations

ACR	Albumin/creatinine ratio
BMI	Body mass index
CKD	Chronic kidney disease
CVD	Cardiovascular disease

DBP	Diastolic blood pressure
eGFR	Estimated glomerular filtration rate
HDL-C	High-density lipoprotein-cholesterol
LDL-C	Low-density lipoprotein-cholesterol
SBP	Systolic blood pressure,
SGLT2 inhibitors	Sodium glucose co-transporter 2 inhibitors
TG	Triglycerides
TRL-TG	Triglyceride-rich lipoprotein

#### Acknowledgements

None.

#### Authors' contributions

All authors contributed to study design, screening of all citations from full-text papers retrieved, data collection, and final revision of the manuscript.

#### Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

#### Availability of data and materials

All data generated in this study are included in this published article.

#### Declarations

##### Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was reviewed and approved by Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University Research Ethics Committee (REC), with the approval number N-152-2023 and was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent was obtained from the all patients participated in the study.

##### Consent for publication

Not applicable.

##### Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: 2 July 2024 Accepted: 6 August 2024

Published online: 13 August 2024

#### References

- Kevin Yau, Atit Dharia, Ibrahim Alrowiyti, and David Z.I. Cherney (2022) Prescribing SGLT2 inhibitors in patients with CKD: expanding indications and practical considerations. *Kidney Int Rep.*7(7);1463–1476. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ekir.2022.04.094>
- Heerspink HJL, Stefánsson BV, Correa-Rotter R et al (2020) Dapagliflozin in patients with chronic kidney disease. *N Engl J Med* 383:1436–1446. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2024816>
- Szekeres Z, Toth K, Szabados E (2021) The effects of SGLT2 inhibitors on lipid metabolism. *Metabolites* 11(2):87
- Bechmann LE, Emanuelsson F, Nordestgaard BG, Benn M (2023) SGLT2-inhibition increases total, LDL, and HDL cholesterol and lowers triglycerides: meta-analyses of 60 randomized trials, overall and by dose, ethnicity, and drug type. *Atherosclerosis* 9:117236
- Hayashi T, Fukui T, Nakanishi N, Yamamoto S, Tomoyasu M, Osamura A, Ohara M, Yamamoto T, Ito Y, Hirano T (2017) Dapagliflozin decreases small dense low-density lipoprotein-cholesterol and increases high-density lipoprotein 2-cholesterol in patients with type 2 diabetes: comparison with sitagliptin. *Cardiovasc Diabetol* 16:1–3
- Suh SH, Kim SW (2023) Dyslipidemia in patients with chronic kidney disease: an updated overview. *Diabetes Metab J* 47(5):612
- Jankowski Joachim, Floege Jürgen, Fliser Danilo, Böhm Michael, Marx Nikolaus (2021) Cardiovascular disease in chronic kidney disease: pathophysiological insights and therapeutic options. *Circulation* 143(11):1157–72
- Yen CL, Fan PC, Lee CC, Chen JJ, Kuo G, Tu YR, Chu PH, Hsu HH, Tian YC, Chang CH (2022) Association of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels during statin treatment with cardiovascular and renal outcomes in patients with moderate chronic kidney disease. *J Am Heart Assoc* 11(19):e027516
- Yaribeygi H, Maleki M, Reiner Ž, Jamialahmadi T, Sahebkar A (2022) Mechanistic view on the effects of SGLT2 inhibitors on lipid metabolism in diabetic milieu. *J Clin Med* 11(21):6544
- Li D, Wu T, Wang T, Wei H, Wang A, Tang H, Song Y (2020) Effects of sodium glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors on risk of dyslipidemia among patients with type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf* 29(5):582–590
- Levey AS, Stevens LA, Schmid CH, Zhang Y, Castro AF III, Feldman HI, Kusek JW, Eggers P, Van Lente F, Greene T, Coresh J (2009) A new equation to estimate glomerular filtration rate. *Ann Intern Med* 150(9):604–612
- Molitch ME, DeFronzo RA, Franz MJ, Keane WF (2004) Nephropathy in diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 27:S79
- Barnett AH, Mithal A, Manassie J, Jones R, Rattunde H, Woerle HJ, Broedl UC (2014) Efficacy and safety of empagliflozin added to existing anti-diabetes treatment in patients with type 2 diabetes and chronic kidney disease: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol* 2(5):369–384
- Haneda M, Seino Y, Inagaki N, Kaku K, Sasaki T, Fukatsu A, Kakiuchi H, Sato Y, Sakai S, Samukawa Y (2016) Influence of renal function on the 52-week efficacy and safety of the sodium glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitor luseogliflozin in Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Clin Ther* 38(1):66–88
- Zhou Z, Jardine MJ, Li Q, Neuen BL, Cannon CP, de Zeeuw D, Edwards R, Levin A, Mahaffey KW, Perkovic V, Neal B (2021) Effect of SGLT2 inhibitors on stroke and atrial fibrillation in diabetic kidney disease: results from the CREDENCE trial and meta-analysis. *Stroke*. 52(5):1545–56
- Yale JF, Bakris G, Cariou B, Nieto J, David-Neto E, Yue D, Wajs E, Figueroa K, Jiang J, Law G, Usiskin K (2014) Efficacy and safety of canagliflozin over 52 weeks in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and chronic kidney disease. *Diabetes Obes Metab* 16(10):1016–1027
- Inzucchi SE, Zinman B, Wanner C, Ferreri R, Fitchett D, Hantel S, Espadero RM, Woerle HJ, Broedl UC, Johansen OE (2015) SGLT-2 inhibitors and cardiovascular risk: proposed pathways and review of ongoing outcome trials. *Diab Vasc Dis Res* 12:90–100
- Ptaszynska A, Hardy E, Johnsson E, Parikh S, List J (2013) Effects of dapagliflozin on cardiovascular risk factors. *Postgrad Med* 125:181–189
- Hach T, Gerich J, Salsali A, Kim G, Hantel S, Woerle H, Broedl UC (2014) Empagliflozin improves glycemic parameters and cardiovascular risk factors in patients with type 2 diabetes (T2DM): pooled data from four pivotal phase III trials. *Diabetologie und Stoffwechsel* 9:p142
- Petrkova J, Taborsky M, Petrek M (2018) Pharmacogenetics of cardiovascular disease: genetic variation and statin intolerance. *Genet Divers Dis Susceptibility* 127–141
- Debapriya Basu, Lesley-Ann Huggins, Diego Scerbo, et al (2018) Mechanism of increased LDL and decreased triglycerides with SGLT2 inhibition. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 38(9):2207–2216. <https://doi.org/10.1161/ATVBAHA.118.311339>
- Annuzzi G, De Natale C, Iovine C, Patti L, Di Marino L, Coppola S, Del Prato S, Riccardi G, Rivellese AA (2004) Insulin resistance is independently associated with postprandial alterations of triglyceride-rich lipoproteins in type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 24:2397–2402
- Hirano T, Satoh N, Koderu R, Hirashima T, Suzuki N, Aoki E, Oshima T, Hosoya M, Fujita M, Hayashi T, Ito Y (2022) Dyslipidemia in diabetic kidney disease classified by proteinuria and renal dysfunction: a cross-sectional study from a regional diabetes cohort. *Journal of Diabetes Investigation* 13(4):657–667
- Vogt L, Laverman GD, Dullaart RP, Navis G (2004) Lipid management in the proteinuric patient: do not overlook the importance of proteinuria reduction. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 19(1):5–8
- Thongnak L, Pongchaidecha A, Chatsudthipong V, Lungkaphin A (2020) Combination of dapagliflozin and statins attenuate renal lipotoxicity in high-fat high-fructose diet-induced insulin resistant rats. *The FASEB Journal*. 34(S1):1
- El Medany AM, Hammadi SH, Khalifa HM, Ghazala RA, Mohammed HS (2021) Effect of dapagliflozin and atorvastatin on the kidney of type 2 diabetic rat model. *Senses Sci* 14:8(2)
- Wang Z, Wei J, Zhao W, Shi R, Zhu Y, Li X, Wang D (2024) SGLT2 inhibition, high-density lipoprotein, and kidney function: a Mendelian randomization study. *Lipids Health Dis* 23(1):84

28. Kalay Z, Sahin OE, Copur S, Danacı S, Ortiz A, Yau K, Cherney DZ, Kanbay M (2023) SGLT-2 inhibitors in nephrotic-range proteinuria: emerging clinical evidence. *Clin Kidney J* 16(1):52–60
29. Zeng XC, Tian Y, Liang XM, Wu XB, Yao CM, Chen XM (2024) SGLT2i relieve proteinuria in diabetic nephropathy patients potentially by inhibiting renal oxidative stress rather than through AGEs pathway. *Diabetol Metab Syndr* 16(1):1
30. Caravaca-Fontán F, Stevens K, Padrón M, Huerta A, Montomoli M, Villa J, González F, Vega C, López Mendoza M, Fernández L, Shabaka A (2024) Sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibition in primary and secondary glomerulonephritis. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 39(2):328–340
31. EMPA-Kidney Collaborative Group (2023) Empagliflozin in patients with chronic kidney disease. *N Engl J Med* 388(2):117–127
32. Ruggenenti P, Perna A, Benini R, Bertani T, Zoccali C et al (1999) In chronic nephropathies prolonged ACE inhibition can induce remission: dynamics of time-dependent changes in GFR. Investigators of the GISEN Group. Gruppo Italiano Studi Epidemiologici in Nefrologia. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 10:997–1006
33. Hunsicker LG, Adler S, Caggiula A, England BK, Greene T et al (1997) Predictors of the progression of renal disease in the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease Study. *Kidney Int* 51:1908–1919
34. Hu B, Gadegbeku C, Lipkowitz MS, Rostand S, Lewis J et al (2012) for the African-American Study of Kidney Disease and Hypertension Group. Kidney function can improve in patients with hypertensive CKD. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 23:706–713
35. Weis L, Metzger M, Haymann JP, Thervet E, Flamant M, Vrtovsnik F, Gauci C, Houillier P, Froissart M, Letavernier E, Stengel B (2013) Renal function can improve at any stage of chronic kidney disease. *PLoS ONE* 8(12):e81835

### **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.